Shunichi SATO et al., S.N. 10/646,438 Page 14 Dkt. 2271/59899-A-Z

**REMARKS** 

The application has been reviewed in light of the Office Action dated December 9, 2004.

Claims 70-72 and 75-122 are pending, with 70-72 being in independent form.

Claims 70-72 and 75-122 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of

obviousness-type double patenting as purportedly unpatentable over claims 1-41 of U.S. Patent

No. 6,207,973.

A Terminal Disclaimer is attached as Exhibit A hereto, thus obviating the double

patenting rejection. A check covering the \$130.00 statutory disclaimer fee is enclosed herewith.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that is in condition for allowance.

If a petition for an extension of time is required to make this response timely, this paper

should be considered to be such a petition.

The Office is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required in

connection with this response, and to credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 03-

3125.

If a telephone interview could advance the prosecution of this application, the Examiner

is respectfully requested to call the undersigned attorney.

Allowance of this application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

aul Teng, Reg. No. 40,83

Attorney for Applicants

Cooper & Dunham LLP

Tel.: (212) 278-0400